UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,274	03/09/2006	03/09/2006 Peter Maienfisch		9289	
	7590 10/22/200 Protection, Inc. ,	9	EXAMINER		
Patent and Trad	emark Department	PESELEV, ELLI			
410 Swing Road Greensboro, NC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1623		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/539	,274	MAIENFISCH ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Elli Pes	elev	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTE WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to regular or reply regular.	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N of time may be available under the provision: MONTHS from the mailing date of this com for reply is specified above, the maximum s ply within the set or extended period for reply ceived by the Office later than three months int term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply b will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this of the content of	·			
Status								
1)⊠ Resp 2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Since	ponsive to communication(s) file action is FINAL . e this application is in condition ed in accordance with the pract	2b) ☐ This action is for allowance exce	pt for formal matters,		e merits is			
Disposition o	f Claims							
4a) C 5)∭ Clair 6)∭ Clair 7)∭ Clair	m(s) is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/am(s) is/am(s) is/am(s) is/ama allowed. m(s) <u>1-3,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected m(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from o						
9)∏ The s	specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of Di 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3. 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linn et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,169,839) in view of Albers-Schonberg et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,310,519).

Linn et al disclose closely analogous avermectin derivatives having antiparasitic activity (see, for example, column 2). The only difference between the claimed compounds and compounds disclosed by Linn et al is that Linn et al exclude compounds wherein both R4 and R5 are methyl groups. However, since avermectins having antiparasitic activity wherein both R4 and R5 are methyl groups were well known in the art at the time of the present invention as disclosed by Albers-Schonberg et al (column 25), it would have been prima facie obvious to a person having ordinary skill in

the art at the time of the present invention to modify the compounds disclosed by Linn et al such that both R4 and R5 represent methyl groups, because the resulting compounds would be expected to possess antiparasitic activity.

Page 3

Applicant's arguments filed July 10, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Linn et al teaches away from the claimed compounds. This argument has not been found persuasive in that naturally occurring avermectins have methyl groups at positions represented by R4 and R5 and are known to possess antiparasitic activity as disclosed by Albers-Schonberg et al. With respect to the differences between the claimed compounds and compounds disclosed by Albers-Schonberg et al, note that said reference was used only to show that avermectins having both methyl groups at positions represented by R4 and R5 were known in the art to have antiparasitic activity at the time of the present invention.

With respect to data presented, said data has not been presented in the form of a declaration. It is not clear from the data presented the activity of which specific prior compounds and which specific claimed compounds were tested. Further, the present claims are not limited to the compounds tested i.e. the test data presented is not commensurate with the full scope of the claimed invention.

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,274 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,274 Page 5

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev

/Elli Peselev/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623